



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,755	10/22/2001	Young-Kwon Cho	678-757 (P9993)	7574
28249	7590	10/14/2005	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			FILE, ERIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/037,755

Applicant(s)

CHO ET AL.

Examiner

Erin M. File

Art Unit

2634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 8, 9, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Na.

Claims 8, 9, 16-18, Na discloses a channel structure for transmitting burst pilot channels in a code division multiple access (CDMA) mobile communications system (abstract). Na further discloses pilot channels are modulated by spreading with the Walsh function with an offset 0 in the burst pilot processing part 60 (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 1-7) after this modulation the pilot channels are spread with the I- and Q-channel pilot PN sequences, respectively (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 18-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Na in view of Ochel and in further view of Grimwood et al.

Claims 1, 10, Na discloses a channel structure for transmitting burst pilot channels in a code division multiple access (CDMA) mobile communications system (abstract). Na further discloses pilot channels are modulated by spreading with the Walsh function with an offset 0 in the burst pilot processing part 60 (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 1-7) after this modulation the pilot channels are spread with the I- and Q-channel pilot PN sequences, respectively (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 18-20). Na fails to disclose burst pilot channel transmitting side information dependent on transmission data according to at least one of the phase, the complex channel and the orthogonal code. However, Ochel discloses a method in which a subcarrier is transmitted that is determined from the phase and frequency information taken from the pilot signal (col. 1, lines 26-37) in a transmitting device. The use of sideband or subcarrier information in a transmitted signal is useful for accurate data transmission and synchronization.

Art Unit: 2634

Therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Ochel's subcarrier information coding into Na's invention. Neither Na nor Ochel disclose choosing an orthogonal code for spreading from a plurality of orthogonal codes, however, Grimwood discloses a CDMA transmission method in which orthogonal spreading codes are used for spreading transmitted data (col. 14, lines 40-45). Grimwood teaches that different, orthogonal spreading codes are used to prevent interference between channels. Therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate Grimwood's selection of an orthogonal code from a plurality of spreading codes in to the combined teachings of Ochel and Na.

Claims 2, 11, inherits the limitations of Claim 1. Na discloses the pilot burst duration is adjustable set to 10, 20, or 40 Modulation Symbols (MS) (col. 6, lines 5-6). Na fails to disclose the modulated pilot symbol has a length of 128 chips, however, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to adjust pilot length of 128 chips. Applicant has not disclosed using this particular code length provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Further, the specification discloses that the burst pilot channel can vary from 64 to as many as 1,024 chips. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with pilot bursts of varying sizes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Na to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 2.

Art Unit: 2634

Claims 3, 12, inherit the limitations of Claims 1, 10 respectively. Na fails to disclose the modulated pilot symbol has a length of 64 chips. However, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use a modulated pilot symbol of a length of 64 chips as is described in Claim 2 above.

Claims 4, 13, inherit the limitations of Claims 1, 10 respectively. Na further discloses the use of a separate in-phase and quadrature channels in his spreader (fig. 2).

Claims 5, 6, 14, 15, contain the limitations as stated in Claim 8 above, except for the limitation of the use of a predefined orthogonal code instead of choosing from a plurality of orthogonal codes. Because the Claim does not differentiate how the orthogonal code is chosen from a plurality of orthogonal codes, there is no appreciable difference between the choosing from a plurality of orthogonal codes, without a specific means of choosing a code, and using a preselected code.

Claim 7, Na discloses a channel structure for transmitting burst pilot channels in a code division multiple access (CDMA) mobile communications system (abstract). Na further discloses the pilot channels are spread with PN sequences (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 18-20). Grimwood discloses a CDMA transmission method in which orthogonal spreading codes are used for spreading transmitted data (col. 14, lines 40-45). Grimwood teaches that different, orthogonal spreading codes

Art Unit: 2634

are used to prevent interference between channels. Therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate Grimwood's selection of an orthogonal code from a plurality of spreading codes in to the Na reference.


5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10.07.2005

Erin M. File



STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800